

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT TACOMA

10 RICKEY CALHOUN,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 DR. HENRY RICHARDS *et al.*,

14 Defendants.
15

CASE NO. C08-5101RBL/JRC

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF
TIME TO REPLY TO THE
PENDING MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

16 This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge
17 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 636 (b) (1) (A) and 636 (b) (1) (B) and Local Magistrates Judges' Rules
18 MJR 1, MJR 3, and MJR 4.
19


20 Before the court is plaintiff's motion asking for an extension of time to reply to a pending
21 motion for summary judgment (Dkt. # 220). This motion was made in conjunction with a
22 motion to stay consideration of summary judgment for further discovery. This action has been
23 pending for over two years. Plaintiff has repeatedly sought and received continuances. Plaintiff
24 has not demonstrated good cause for another continuance.
25

26 The motion is **DENIED**. A response to the motion for summary judgment, (Dkt. # 208),
is due 14 days from entry of this order. Any reply the defendants wish to file will be due 21 days

1 after entry of this order. The motion for summary judgment, (Dkt. # 220), is re-noted for **April**
2 **2, 2010.**

3 The Clerk's Office is directed to send plaintiff a copy of this order, remove (Dkt. # 220)
4 from the Court's calendar, and re-note Dkt. # 220.

5 Dated this 5th day of March, 2010.

6
7 

8 J. Richard Creatura
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26